Introduction

Lateral hip pain is a common clinical complaint, affecting up to 15% of the general population (1). Greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS) was initially defined as tenderness over the greater trochanter in the absence of hip arthritis (2). This definition has since been expanded to include a group of disorders affecting the peritrochanteric space, including trochanteric bursitis, coxa saltans, and gluteus tendon injuries. Although many patients improve with non-operative management, those with persistent symptoms may require further evaluation and consideration for operative intervention. Comprehensive understanding of the peritrochanteric space anatomy, physical exam findings, radiographic evaluation, and surgical techniques are critical for operative management of this pathology. The following paper aims to review these aspects and present the clinical outcomes of each treatment.
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Abstract: Patients with greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS) include a group of disorders affecting the peritrochanteric space, including trochanteric bursitis, coxa saltans, and gluteus tendon injuries. While many patients improve with non-operative management, those with persistent symptoms may require further evaluation and consideration for operative intervention. Comprehensive understanding of the peritrochanteric space anatomy, physical exam findings, radiographic evaluation, and surgical techniques are critical for operative management of this pathology. The following paper aims to review these aspects and present the clinical outcomes of each treatment.

Anatomy

The peritrochanteric compartment of the hip is defined medially by the greater trochanter, laterally by the iliotibial band (ITB), and anteriorly by the proximal sartorius and tensor fascia lata (7). Contained within the space are the gluteus tendons, ITB, iliopsoas, and trochanteric bursa. The gluteus medius and minimus muscles serve as the primary hip abductors to stabilize the pelvis during gait and single leg stance. The gluteus tendons insert onto distinct facets of the greater trochanter, similar to the rotator cuff insertion on the humeral tuberosities. Four distinct facets make up the greater trochanter: anterior, superoposterior, lateral, and posterior facets (8-10). The gluteus medius inserts into the lateral and superoposterior facets, while the gluteus minimus inserts into the anterior facet. Robertson et al.
reported the surface area of the gluteus medius footprint to be 438.0 mm² for the lateral facet and 196.5 mm² for the superoposterior facet (11). The posterior facet is the only facet that does not have a distinct tendon attachment, but it is the location of the largest bursa of the peritrochanteric space, and thus likely a source of primary pain in patients with trochanteric bursitis without associated gluteus tears (12,13). A normal bare area devoid of soft tissue attachments exists between the medius and minimus insertions (11). Care must be taken to avoid placing anchors within the bare are during surgical repair (7).

The iliopsoas tendon is formed by the coalescence of iliacus and psoas major. The tendon traverses directly anterior to the hip joint and inserts onto the lesser trochanter (14). Philippon et al. demonstrated that the iliopsoas tendon often has multiple distinct tendinous components, with 64% of cadavers having double-banded and 8% have triple-banded tendons (15). The recognition of multiple tendon slips is important when considering surgical release (16). The ITB moves from an anterior to posterior position in relation to the greater trochanter as the hip moves from flexion to extension.

History & physical exam

History and physical examination are invaluable in the initial assessment of hip pain. Groin pain generally indicates intra-articular pathology, and when occurring at the extremes of motion it is suggestive of impingement. Meanwhile, lateral pain that is reproduced over the greater trochanter generally represents GTPS. However, there may be significant overlap of these pain locations, and patients often present with more than one coexisting hip pathology.

Patients with coxa saltans will typically describe a snapping sensation or sound that they can often reproduce on physical exam. External coxa saltans typically occurs at the greater trochanter as the hip is moved from flexion to extension. This represents the ITB jumping from anterior to posterior over the greater trochanter. Internal coxa saltans is less common and occurs due to the iliopsoas tendon snapping over underlying bony prominences, such as the iliopectineal eminence or the anterior femoral head. This may be reproduced by placing the hip in an externally rotated and flexed position, and gradually extending it to neutral (17,18).

Abductor tendon tears and trochanteric bursitis often present with tenderness to palpation over the greater trochanter that is exacerbated by resisted abduction. Abductor weakness as indicated by an abductor lurch, Trendelenburg gait and stance, or apparent leg length discrepancy due to abnormal pelvic tilt should raise suspicion for abductor tendon tears. Hip abductor strength should be assessed with lying on their contralateral side and the knee bent to try to relax the IT band and attempt to isolate gluteus medius/minimus. In patients with GTPS, Bird et al. found that Trendelenburg gait and stance was more accurate than resisted abduction in predicting gluteus tendon tears, with a sensitivity 73% and specificity of 77% (19).

Imaging

On a patient’s initial clinical presentation with hip pain, patients are evaluated with plain radiographs including an AP pelvis and Dunn lateral view. The Dunn view is obtained with the hip in 90° of flexion and 20° of abduction. The combination of the AP and Dunn views are needed to achieve orthogonal views of the femoral head and neck. A false profile view taken with the patient standing 65 degrees oblique to the beam can also be taken to further evaluate the anterior coverage of the femoral head.

In addition to evaluating the hip for other disorders including arthritis, dysplasia and impingement, radiographs may demonstrate calcific tendonitis or subtle cortical changes at the gluteal insertion on the greater trochanter to suggest GTPS. Steinert et al. showed that surface irregularities of greater than 2 mm at the abductor insertion site had a 90% positive predictive value of gluteal tendinopathy (Figure 1). While this finding had a high specificity (94%), it had low sensitivity (40%) and accuracy (61%) (20). Additionally, findings of acetabular antversion, coxa vera, and evidence of pelvic dysplasia may contribute to internal coxa saltans (21).

Ultrasound is a relatively low-cost imaging modality that can identify gluteal tearing and secondary inflammation. For diagnosing gluteal tendon tears, Westacott et al. reported that ultrasound has up to a 79% sensitivity and 100% positive predictive value (22). Ultrasound also can provide a dynamic assessment of the ITB or iliopsoas movement in coxa saltans. Ultrasonography requires well-trained, experienced operators to optimize accuracy.

MRI is often considered the gold standard diagnostic imaging modality for peritrochanteric pathology, with reported 91% accuracy (23). Additionally, the identification of abnormal T2 hyperintensity superior to the greater trochanter had a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 95% for identifying
tears (23). MRI also provides greater soft tissue detail to help determine full vs. partial thickness abductor tendon tears, gluteus muscle fatty infiltration, and degree of tendon retraction. Partial-thickness abductor tendon tears are indicated by focal discontinuity of the gluteus medius fibers, while complete tears are defined by retraction of the tendon (23). Compensatory hypertrophy of the tensor fascia lata may also be indicative of abductor tears (24).

**Internal coxa saltans**

Internal coxa saltans is snapping of the iliopsoas tendon over the ilipectineal ridge or anterior femoral head as the hip moves from flexion to extension. Other structures such as the iliacus and lesser trochanter may also contribute to the snapping pathology (25,26). In anatomic variants with multiple heads of the iliopsoas tendon, the tendon slips may subluxate over each other to generate the snapping sensation (25).

Initial conservative management of internal coxa saltans should be attempted, focused on nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and physical therapy for tendon stretching exercises. For patients who fail nonoperative management, open or arthroscopic releases have been described. However, moderate outcomes and relatively high complication rates of up to 40% have been reported after open release or lengthening of the iliopsoas tendon (27). Meanwhile, arthroscopic management of internal snapping hip demonstrates decreased failure rate, fewer complications, and decreased postoperative pain in comparison with open management (28). Arthroscopy also enables treatment of concomitant intra-articular pathology, including impingement and labral tears.

Two different endoscopic releases have been described for the iliopsoas tendon: the lesser trochanter release and transcapsular release. Ilizaliturri et al. compared the two techniques and found no significant differences between either procedure (29,30). Meanwhile, a systematic review of the two techniques by Khan et al. found better outcomes with the lesser trochanter release (28). While psoas tendon releases have a high success rate for resolving snapping and reducing pain, psoas muscle size and hip flexions strength may be permanently affected (31). A cohort study of 18 patients undergoing arthroscopic iliopsoas release found a 25% loss of muscle volume on post-operative MRI and a 19% reduction in seated hip flexion strength compared to the control group of 18 patients without iliopsoas release (mean follow-up 21 months). Thus, some authors have begun advocating for preservation of muscle using arthroscopic iliopsoas fractional lengthening, especially in an athletic population, where any loss of hip flexion strength or resultant hip instability can have adverse consequences (32). Internal coxa saltans that is refractory to release should raise suspicion for multiple iliopsoas tendon variants (33).
External coxa saltans

In external coxa saltans, or external snapping hip, either the ITB or the tendon of the gluteus maximus snaps over the greater trochanter during hip range of motion. This may result from hypertrophy of the posterior ITB at the level of the greater trochanter, causing snapping as the hip moves from extension to flexion. The goal of ITB release for external snapping hip is to release tension from this area. Traditional open techniques for ITB release commonly involved z-plasty of the structure, usually with concomitant trochanteric bursectomy. Again, high interest in minimally invasive hip surgical techniques have led to the advent of endoscopic procedures aimed at alleviating this pathology. Ilizaliturri et al. reported on eleven patients undergoing endoscopic ITB release consisting of creating a diamond shape resection in the ITB at the level of the greater trochanter and demonstrated satisfactory results and full return to previous activity in all patients, with only one patient maintaining non-painful snapping at 2-year follow-up (34).

Trochanteric bursitis

Lateral sided hip pain secondary to trochanteric bursitis is a very common clinical complaint. Friction secondary to repetitive microtrauma to the region due to the ITB rubbing over the greater trochanter leads to an insidious inflammatory response of the bursa (35). Conservative management options such as NSAIDs, activity modification, or corticosteroid injections can lead to satisfactory pain relief for the majority of patients.

For patients that fail to improve with conservative management, open trochanteric bursectomy has been described in the literature since 1979 by Booker, where a technique for ITB release and debridement of the underlying tissue has shown satisfactory results (36). Bradley and Dillingham initially reported on a “bursoscopy and bursectomy” endoscopic technique (37). Fox reported on 27 cases treated with endoscopic bursectomy, with 23 of those patients obtaining immediate post-operative relief. There were no reported complications and only two patients experienced recurrence of symptoms at 5 years (38). Van Hofwegen et al. described outcomes of an endoscopic bursectomy technique for recalcitrant trochanteric bursitis following hip arthroplasty and found significantly improved pain scores, with 62% of patients describing painless use of the hip at an average follow-up of 36 months (range, 4–85 months) (39).

Gluteus medius & minimus tendon tears and tendinopathy

While traumatic abductor tendon tears can occur, the majority of tears are attritional and occur without a distinct injury (4-6,21). Misdiagnosis as arthritis, lumbar back pain, or trochanteric bursitis may also prolong the presentation and delay treatment. The incidence of abductor tendon tears is related to advanced age and female gender, and may coexist with other hip pathology. Patients undergoing operative fixation of femoral neck fractures were found to have a 22% incidence of gluteus medius tears (40). Additionally, there is a 20% prevalence of capsular and abductor mechanism tears in patients with hip osteoarthritis (41).

The initial management of GTPS is typically conservative with NSAIDs, activity modification, and physical therapy. Corticosteroid injections may provide the greatest benefit in reducing pain to enable the patient to enhance their participation in physical therapy. Although evidence is controversial, another commonly used injection modality in tendinopathy is platelet-rich plasma (PRP). A double-blind, randomized, prospective study of 80 patients (mean age of 60 years) with chronic gluteal tendinopathy >4 months, achieved greater clinical improvement at 12 weeks when treated with a single PRP injection than those treated with a single corticosteroid injection (42). Low-energy extra corporeal shockwave therapy has also been shown to improve symptoms in GTPS (43).

When lateral hip pain fails to improve with conservative management, abductor tendon tears should be suspected. Similar to the rotator cuff, the abductor tendons can be repaired back down to their anatomic footprint either with open or endoscopic approaches. Studies reporting the outcomes of gluteus tendon repairs are summarized in Table 1 (44-55). All studies are level IV evidence, lacking direct comparison between techniques or a control group. Nevertheless, patients undergoing abductor tendon repairs generally report significant improvement in pain, modified Harris Hip Scores (mHHS), abductor strength, and Trendelenburg gait. Two systematic reviews comparing level IV evidence studies of open vs. endoscopic abductor tendon repairs reported no difference in patient-reported outcomes, pain reduction, or abductor strength between the two techniques. However, open surgery had a higher rate of re-tears, as well as, surgical complications including hematoma and deep infection (56,57).

Analogous to rotator cuff tendon repairs, the quality of preoperative gluteal musculature as assessed by fatty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>sample size</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voos AJSM 2009 (44)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Min 19 months</td>
<td>Endoscopic repair</td>
<td>mHHS 94 (post-op only)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormick Arthroscopy 2013 (45)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Min 1 year</td>
<td>Endoscopic double row</td>
<td>mHHS 85 (post-op only)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaunat Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2013 (46)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Min 6 months</td>
<td>Endoscopic Transtendinous</td>
<td>mHHS 36→74</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domb AJSM 2013 (47)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Min 2 years</td>
<td>Endoscopic Double row: FT 9 Transtendinous: PT 6</td>
<td>mHHS 29→80</td>
<td>1 superficial infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrasekaran JBJS 2015 (48)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Min 2 years</td>
<td>Endoscopic Double row: FT 10, PT 7 Transtendinous: PT 17</td>
<td>mHHS 54→81</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrd JHPS 2017 (49)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Min 2 years</td>
<td>Endoscopic double row</td>
<td>mHHS 42→85</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies Hip Int 2009 (50)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Min 1 year</td>
<td>Open double row</td>
<td>Oxford Hip 21.4→38.9</td>
<td>4 re-tears; 1 deep infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh J Arthroplasty 2011 (51)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Min 6 months</td>
<td>Open transosseous</td>
<td>90% pain-free</td>
<td>6 DVT; 4 re-tears; 3 Wound hematoma; 1 infection; 1 trochanter fracture;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies JBJS 2013 (52)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Min 5 years</td>
<td>Open double row</td>
<td>HHS 53→87</td>
<td>2 re-tears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makridis Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2014 (53)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Min 1 year</td>
<td>Open double row</td>
<td>HHS 50.4→87.9</td>
<td>11 persistent pain; 2 re-tears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebert Hip Int 2017 (54)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Open, suture ligament augmentation (LARS)</td>
<td>HHS 57.6→80.8</td>
<td>3 surgical failures; 2 superficial infections; 1 DVT/PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartigan Arthroscopy 2018 (55)</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Min 2 years</td>
<td>Endoscopic transtendinous partial thickness repair</td>
<td>mHHS 54.9→76.2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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infiltration has been correlated to outcomes of hip abductor tendon repairs. Bogunovic et al. discovered that patients with increased preoperative gluteus muscle fatty infiltration based on the Goutallier classification reported worse postoperative pain and outcomes after endoscopic abductor tendon repairs (58). Similarly, Makridis et al. reviewed 73 patients who underwent open double row abductor tendon repairs and found that only gluteus muscle atrophy negatively impacted functional outcomes (average follow-up 4.6 years, range 1-8 years). The authors recommended early operative intervention of abductor tendon tears prior to significant degeneration and muscle atrophy (53). Irreparable tears may be reconstructed with either flap transfers of the gluteus maximus with or without tensor fascia lata, or allograft reconstruction (35-38,40).

**Preferred surgical technique**

Prior to entering the peritrochanteric space, routine diagnostic arthroscopy of the central and peripheral compartments is performed. The anterior or mid-anterior portal can be used to enter the peritrochanteric space. A mid-anterior portal that is 2-3 cm distal and lateral to the standard anterior portal, directly anterior to the lateral prominence of the greater trochanter is preferred (Figure 2). The mid-anterior portal provides an easier angle to the peritrochanteric space, than the standard anterior portal and reduces the risk to the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (11). The mid-anterior portal should be placed distal to the gluteus medius muscle belly and proximal to vastus lateralis. Fluoroscopy is used to confirm placement directly over the greater trochanter.

In our experience, iliopsoas release for internal coxa saltans is rarely indicated and we council patients against it due to potential for hip flexor weakness. However, in the rare situation where iliopsoas release is indicated, we typically will release it through the anterior aspect of the capsulotomy, taking care to recognize and release multiple tendon slips if they are present.

After completing evaluation and treatment of the central and peripheral compartments, the mid-anterior cannula is directed posterolaterally into the peritrochanteric space. The leg is taken completely off traction and placed in approximately 20-25° abduction, 10° flexion, and 15° internal rotation to facilitate access. Abduction of the hip creates additional working space between the ITB and the greater trochanter. Similar to entry into the subacromial space of the shoulder, broad sweeping motions of the cannula are used to help create a working space within the bursa.

Upon entry into the peritrochanteric space, the distal anterolateral accessory portal is established 4-5 cm distal to the anterolateral portal. A bursectomy is typically performed with a motorized shaver starting at the gluteus maximus insertion and progressing proximally. The standard anterolateral portal can be utilized to improve proximal or distal access. Of note, the sciatic nerve lies 3-4 cm posterior to the maximus insertion on the linea aspera.

The gluteus insertions are carefully visualized. The minimus is often covered by medius musculature and has to be retracted to visualize the insertion of the gluteus minimus onto the anterior facet. The arthroscope should be turned laterally to evaluate the ITB. In the case of external coxa saltans, the insertion fibers of the gluteus medius may appear inflamed and injected, and the adjacent posterior third of the ITB may appear thickened and irritated. Arthroscopic ITB release can be performed at this step if necessary.

If a gluteus medius tendon tear is present, the leading edge of the torn tendon is debrided back to healthy, robust tissue. Soft tissue is debrided to expose the bare footprint corresponding to the torn tendon and the footprint is decorticated to bleeding cancellous bone (Figure 3). Suture anchors are then placed into the footprint based upon
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the tendon tear pattern. A combination of arthroscopic visualization and fluoroscopic image guidance can confirm location and trajectory. For gluteus medius tears off of the lateral facet, typically 2–4 anchors are used. Sutures are passed through the tendon edge using a needle penetrating device placed through the distal anterolateral portal, and then passed to the mid-anterior portal for suture management (Figure 4).

Following gluteus medius repair, patients are placed in a hip abduction brace set at 10° of abduction and they are instructed to be 20 lb flat foot weight bearing for the first 6 weeks on crutches. Continuous passive motion is started immediately for 2–4 hours per day, and a stationary bike is used for 20 minutes per day. Passive hip flexion is limited at 90 degrees. Patients are allowed to do passive hip abduction but should avoid active abduction, passive adduction past neutral, and external rotation past 0 degrees for 6 weeks after repair. At 2 weeks, patients begin isometric strengthening of hip extensors, adductors, and external rotators. Gradual progression to full weight bearing occurs between 6 and 10 weeks after surgery. Running is allowed once the patient can perform a single leg stance and the abductor strength has returned to near normal compared to the contralateral side. Table 2 demonstrates tips and pearls for endoscopic peri-trochanteric visualization.

**Conclusions**

The diagnosis of GTPS and the appropriate management of each characteristic injury is complicated and requires an intimate understanding of their natural history, physical examination findings, radiographic investigations, and treatment options. Non-surgical modalities will provide symptomatic relief to many patients, but for those who failed conservative management, endoscopic surgical techniques have demonstrated significant benefits with a
Table 2  Tips and pearls for endoscopic peri-trochanteric visualization

- Anterior and distal portals are the best routes to access the peritrochanteric space
- The cannula is directed into the peritrochanteric space with the leg in full extension and slight abduction to increase working space
- Similar to the subacromial space, sweep the cannula to develop a plane between the trochanteric bursa and IT band
- The initial view includes the insertion of the gluteus maximus underneath the vastus lateralis
- Identify the longitudinal fibers of the vastus lateralis and follow them proximally to the vastus tubercle looking immediately anterior to the anterior facet
- Use percutaneous needle localization and fluoroscopy to confirm correct anchor placement

minimally invasive approach.
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