Managing acromial fractures: prevention and treatment, both nonoperative and operative
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Abstract: Acromial and scapular spine fractures are a known complication in 3.1% to 11.2% of reverse shoulder arthroplasties and can cause significant functional impairment. Acromial and scapular spine fractures often present as increased shoulder pain and may have unremarkable plain radiographs and thus require further imaging for diagnosis. A number of risk factors for these fractures have been proposed in the literature with varying level of evidence. Risk factors may be grouped into patient comorbidities, pre-operative acromial pathology, surgical technique, and surgical indications. Ideally, acromial and scapular spine fractures in reverse shoulder arthroplasty patients can be prevented by identifying and addressing risk factors pre-operatively and intra-operatively. Treatment of acromion and scapular spine fractures after reverse shoulder arthroplasty depends on the fracture location, displacement, and patient factors and includes both non-surgical management and surgical fixation. This article aims to serve as a comprehensive review of the available literature on acromial and scapular spine fractures in reverse shoulder arthroplasty patients and suggested methods of prevention and treatment.
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Introduction

The utilization of total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) and specifically reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) has increased steadily over the past decades (1-3). As the indications for RSA have continued to expand, so has the prevalence of this procedure. While traditionally RSA was reserved for patients with end stage rotator cuff arthropathy, indications have expanded to also include many other pathologies (4,5). As the number of RSAs being performed increases, inevitably so will the number of complications.

A number of intra-operative and post-operative complications have been described in RSA, with overall complication rates estimated at 19 percent for primary RSA and up to 69 percent for revision RSA procedures (6,7). Some of the more common complications include scapular notching, glenoid component loosening, infection, instability, and fracture (8). Periprosthetic fractures of the humeral shaft, greater tuberosity, coracoid, acromion, and scapular spine have all been described (9,10). Acromial and scapular spine fractures have been associated with significantly worse functional outcomes after RSA and are a major cause of post-operative dysfunction and/or pain in these patients (11-13).

Acromial and scapular spine fractures occur in 3.1% to 11.2% of all patients undergoing RSA (Table 1) (11-18,20). While a number of risk factors have been proposed, there is a relative paucity of quality evidence in the literature on the cause of this complication. The purpose of this article is
to highlight the risk factors for scapular spine and acromial fractures in patients undergoing RSA and discuss treatment and prevention options based on current literature.

### Clinical presentation, diagnosis, and classification

Acromial fractures and scapular spine fractures may occur intra-operatively or post-operatively in association with RSA. Intra-operative fractures are rare and may be treated conservatively or with acute fixation depending on location and displacement of the fracture as well as implant stability. Post-operative fractures present differently, and may be due to a direct trauma or a chronic fatigue type of mechanism (17). Patients with a traumatic mechanism often report a fall or an event requiring them to reach out and grab something to prevent a fall. This mechanism suggests that the mechanism for these types of fractures may be from rapid deltoid contraction.

Patients with acromial stress fractures often present with a period of initial pain relief post-operatively followed by an increase in pain and poor function (22). It is well established that RSA patients who sustain acromial or scapular spine fractures have worse outcomes compared to patients without fractures. Lópiz et al. showed a significant decreased in Constant scores, lower quality of life scores, and an average decrease of 39 degree of forward flexion and 34 degrees of abduction compared to control patients (13). Similarly, Teusink et al. showed a higher revision surgery rate, less overall improvement in American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score (58.0 compared to 74.2), and worse range of motion improvement (26 degrees compared to 76 degrees) (12). The success of an RSA is reliant on a functional deltoid, and significant deltoid dysfunction and loss of tension may occur with displaced fractures of the deltoid origin on the acromion or scapular spine. The time period in which these fractures occur varies anywhere from 1 to 94 months post-operatively, with the average typically between 3 and 12 months (12-14). Many patients with acromion fractures are a diagnostic challenge as their clinical exam is ambiguous and radiographs are normal or have subtle findings.

Routine shoulder plain radiographs are the initial diagnostic imaging tool but only have a sensitivity of 79% and poor interobserver reliability (14,23). Therefore, early advanced imaging is indicated in patients presenting with a high clinical suspicion for scapula fractures. Computed tomography is the advanced image study that best identifies fractures not apparent on plain radiographs, but magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or bone scans can be helpful for stress fractures or stress reactions. Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate radiographs and advanced imaging in two case examples.

Crosby et al. proposed a classification for acromion fractures after RSA after retrospectively reviewing 400 patients, 22 of which sustained acromion or scapular spine fractures. Type I fractures are small anterior fractures at the level of the acromioclavicular (AC) joint and were hypothesized to be secondary to deltoid avulsion from a weakened acromion. Type II fractures are posterior to the AC joint and were thought to be due to fatigue failure of the acromion. Type III fractures are in the posterior acromion or scapular spine and were all related to a traumatic event in their series (16). Levy et al. has also proposed another classification system based on the amount of deltoid origin involvement that is depicted in Table 2 (14).

### Risk factors

The first step in appropriately managing and preventing acromion fractures in RSA patients is to mitigate possible risk factors. Risk factors can be classified into surgical indication, patient comorbidities, acromial pathology, and surgical technique.
The indications for RSA have been expanded over the past decades, and with this more complicated patients are getting reverse shoulder arthroplasties (4,5). One particular example is locked anterior shoulder with static instability and anterior glenoid bone loss. This group of patients develop soft tissue contractures that may place an increased load on the acromion, and have a higher fracture incidence at 21 percent (24). Rheumatoid arthritis patients have a higher risk of intra-operative fractures during RSA; however, post-operative acromial fracture rate appears to be similar to non-rheumatoid patients (10,25,26).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Deltoid origin involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Acromion anterior to posterolateral acromial corner</td>
<td>Anterior and portion of middle deltoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Mid acromion between base and posterolateral corner</td>
<td>Entire middle deltoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Acromial base</td>
<td>Entire middle and posterior deltoid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Surgical indication

The indications for RSA have been expanded over the past decades, and with this more complicated patients are getting reverse shoulder arthroplasties (4,5). One particular example is locked anterior shoulder with static instability and anterior glenoid bone loss. This group of patients develop soft tissue contractures that may place an increased load on the acromion, and have a higher fracture incidence at 21 percent (24). Rheumatoid arthritis patients have a higher risk of intra-operative fractures during RSA; however, post-operative acromial fracture rate appears to be similar to non-rheumatoid patients (10,25,26).
Comorbidities

Certain medical comorbidities may increase the risk of acromial fractures in RSA patients. One retrospective case-control study looked at comorbidities in relation to acromial fractures and found the osteoporosis significantly increased the risk; however, no increased risk of fracture existed in patient with osteopenia, endocrine disease, autoimmune disease, smoking, alcohol abuse, or steroid use (23). Definitive conclusions are difficult to make based on such a rare complication, and it is likely that all medical comorbidities that cause decreased bone strength or bone metabolic changes increase the risk of acromion fractures to some degree. Additionally, patients at an increased risk of falling are at higher risk of sustaining an acromial or scapular spine fracture after RSA.

Acromial pathology

Pre-operative pathology in the acromion such as os acromiale, acromial thickness, acromial tilt, or prior acromioplasty may weaken the bone, theoretically putting it at an increased risk of fracture (18,27). Several retrospective series have studied these particular risk factors. Walch et al. compared 23 patients with os acromiale and 17 patients with pre-operative acromion fracture or fragmentation to patients without these lesions and found no significant difference in outcomes nor an increased risk of post-operative fractures (18). Otto et al. studied 53 RSA patients that sustained post-operative scapular fractures and found no differences in acromial thickness or acromial tilt when compared to non-fractured RSA patients (23).

Crosby et al. proposed AC joint stiffness as a predisposing factor for acromial fractures, specifically in type II fractures (16). AC joint stiffness has only been evaluated critically in one study by Dubrow et al. The authors found no significant difference in radiographic presence of AC degenerative changes in patients with acromial fractures and those without (11). The applicability of these results is difficult to assess due to the low sample size and subjective nature of assessing AC stiffness radiographically. Therefore, it is uncertain if the presence of AC arthritis or stiffness is a risk factor for acromial fractures in RSA patients.

Surgical technique

Several intra-operative factors may also increase the risk of acromial fractures in RSA patients. Several studies have related displaced fractures of the base of the scapular spine to the superior glenoid baseplate screw. The screw being too long or exiting at the scapular spine base may cause increased stress in the scapular spine causing a fracture (16,22,23).

Another factor that may contribute is placing excessive tension on the deltoid by distalizing the center of rotation and lengthening the arm. Biomechanically this gives the deltoid a better lever arm for elevation and was one of the original goals in RSA (28). This effectively lengthens the arm and the deltoid, placing increased stress on the acromion and scapular spine. Studies have used objective radiographic measurements such as glenoid-to-tuberosity distance, acromion-to-tuberosity or acromiohumeral distance, and arm length to quantify and reproduce this. However, to date no study has shown that increased arm lengthening or increasing deltoid tension does put the acromion at an increased risk of fracture (11,23,29). Furthermore, inadequate deltoid tension is associated with increased risk of prosthetic instability (29).

Several other surgical factors have been reported as a risk for post-operative acromial fractures in RSA. Phadnis et al. compared results in cemented vs. uncemented humeral stems and found that cemented humeral stems were associated with more acromion fractures in a retrospective review (30). In another retrospective series, Molé et al. demonstrated a higher fracture rate in the deltopectoral approach compared to the anterosuperior approach (5.6% vs. 2.2%) (31). However, without randomized controlled trials, the true risk associated with cementation and approach is unknown.

Prevention

A number of risk factors have been identified as being associated with post-operative acromial spine fractures (Table 3). As this is a relatively infrequent complication in RSA, the evidence in support of each risk factor is generally poor, making prevention of acromion fractures difficult. Prevention strategies can be grouped into mitigation of patient factors and surgical technique.

Patient factors are difficult to control. As RSA is typically an end-stage treatment, other lower risk procedures have already been attempted or are not indicated. Surgeons should carry a high index of suspicion when offering RSA to patients presenting with a chronic locked anterior shoulder dislocation, as the incidence of acromial fractures is higher
in this group. Optimization of bone health in osteoporotic individuals with appropriate medical management and bisphosphonate use may help mitigate risk in this patient population. Abnormal pre-operative acromial morphology such as decreased acromial thickness, acromial tilt, AC joint stiffness, or os acromiale has not been shown to increase risk of post-operative fractures and therefore should not preclude patients from getting surgery.

Surgical technique may play a role in preventing acromial and scapular spine fractures during and after RSA. The superior glenoid baseplate screw has been shown to be associated with scapular spine fractures, especially those occurring at the base of the scapular spine (16,22,23). This may be prevented by ensuring this screw is of appropriate length and trajectory with a maximum length of 24 mm and avoiding a posterior trajectory (22). It may also be prevented by not placing the superior screw at all as long as the remaining screws have adequate purchase (32).

Regarding surgical approach, while one study demonstrated that the anterosuperior approach may have a lower rate of acromial fractures and post-operative instability, the rate of implant loosening and scapular notching was higher with this approach (31). It is recommended that the surgeon utilizes the approach that he or she is most comfortable with.

While deltoïd lengthening has been shown to improve post-operative function in RSA patients, this may also predispose patients to acromial fractures or deltoïd fatigue from overlengthening (33-35). While no definitive evidence has shown that increasing the deltoïd tension may predispose RSA patients to acromial fractures, it is still recommended to limit arm lengthening to 2.5 centimeters to mitigate the risk of possible acromion fracture, neurologic injury, or deltoïd weakening (29,33,36). This may be achieved by avoiding excessive inferior placement of the baseplate/glenosphere and choosing a humeral component design that does not excessively distalize the humerus (37).

### Treatment

Treatment of scapular spine and acromial fractures in RSA patients can be generally grouped into conservative treatment and operative fixation. Conservative management is the standard treatment for acute, non-displaced fractures of the scapula, with a recent survey of ASES members demonstrating that 74 percent prefer conservative management (15). Nonsurgical treatment involves a period of immobilization for six weeks, followed by progressive range of motion (12-15,22). During this period, gentle pendulum exercises may be allowed, but no active motion due to high risk of fracture displacement (16). Conservative management has yielded nonunion rates as high as 50 to 75 percent, calling into question this treatment modality.

<p>| Table 3 | List of risk factors for post-operative acromial and scapular spine fractures in reverse shoulder arthroplasty patients |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>With supportive evidence</strong></td>
<td><strong>Without supportive evidence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acromial pathology</td>
<td>AC joint stiffness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>Os acromiale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acromioplasty or acromial thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical technique</td>
<td>Increased acromial tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior glenoid baseplate screw placement</td>
<td>Glenosphere inferiorization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltopectoral approach</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humeral stem cementation</td>
<td>Osteopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical indications</td>
<td>Endocrine disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locked anterior shoulder</td>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steroid use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(12,13,15). Some surgeons advocate that patients may go on to a painless nonunion and have acceptable results (15).

Certain fracture patterns are better treated with operative management. Displaced fractures and fractures at the base of the scapular spine are prone to poor outcomes due to the larger amount of deltoid insertion involvement. A fracture at the base of the scapular spine will involve roughly two thirds of the deltoid origin. When a fracture in this region displaces, deltoid tension is lost and function of the RSA suffers significantly (23,38). A variety of surgical fixation methods have been described in the literature for acromial fractures in RSA patients. While tension band wiring is a described technique, the deltoid muscle is not a simple single plane tension force vector which may contribute to failure of this technique (18,22,39). Some fractures of the lateral acromion have little area for screw purchase, in which case a tension band construct may be the only feasible option. Most surgeons favor rigid plate fixation when treating these fractures operatively. Rouleau et al. described a technique of dual orthogonal plating of a scapular spine base fracture with excellent results (40). Hess et al. described a technique of bending a cruciform pilon plate over the acromion to place a retrograde lag screw across the fracture (41). Hill et al. described a series of 13 patients with acromion or scapular spine fractures in native scapulae that underwent fixation with a 2.7- or 3.5-mm lag screw and a neutralization reconstruction plate with 100 percent union rate and good overall outcomes (42).

A consensus treatment plan for acromial and scapular spine fractures in RSA patients does not exist as no studies have been published comparing fixation techniques or operative and nonoperative management. The majority of literature includes case series of less than five patients, from which little clinical judgement can be made. In the absence of any comparative trials, our institution recommends treating nondisplaced fractures of the acromion and scapular spine conservatively with six weeks of immobilization except in cases of displaced fractures or painful nonunions. In these cases, rigid fixation offers the best chance of achieving union. Future comparative studies are needed to guide management in acromial and scapular spine fractures associated with RSA.
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